## DECLARATION OF NATHANIEL KRITZER

I, NATHANIEL KRITZER, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed and admitted to practice before the courts of the state of New York. I am admitted pro hac vice in this matter. I am a partner at Steptoe & Johnson, LLP and am representing the Defendants in this matter.
- 2. I make this declaration in support of Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment in Excess of Thirty Pages. Based on my review of the files and records in this case, I have personal knowledge of the contents of this declaration and could testify thereto.
- 3. Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint contains five different causes of action alleged against the Defendants: a breach of contract claim alleged against Mr. Kupiec; a tortious interference with contract and aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty claims alleged against Mr. Rose and the Avison Young entities; and trade secret misappropriation and conspiracy claims alleged against all Defendants.
- 4. Plaintiff filed their comprehensive Motion for Summary Judgment on Liability [ECF No. 624] seeking summary judgment their five causes of action. Plaintiffs attached 181 exhibits spanning many hundreds of pages to their Motion for Summary Judgment. The large number of exhibits contributes to the need for a brief that exceeds the page limit in LR 7-1. In order to adequately respond to Plaintiff's arguments and exhibits, Defendants request permission to file a 40-page response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment on Liability [ECF No. 624].
- 5. Courts in this district have found good cause to allow excess pages in similar situations. *F.T.C. v. Ivy Cap., Inc.*, No. 2:11-CV-283 JCM GWF, 2012 WL 4482796, at \*2 (D. Nev. Sept. 27, 2012) (finding good cause for an oversize brief where a party filed one lengthy summary judgment brief instead of multiple briefs, which the court noted "would lead to inefficiencies and disjointed litigation."); *Trina Solar US, Inc. v. Carson-Selman*, No. 220CV1308JCMBNW, 2020 WL 7338552, at \*2 (D. Nev. Dec. 14, 2020) (finding good cause to grant motion to exceed page limit where case involved "numerous legal and procedural items" and multiple proceedings spanning multiple jurisdictions).

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 1 2 I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 25th day of May, 2023, and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. 3 Pro. 5, I served via CM/ECF, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **DEFENDANTS**' 4 UNOPPOSED MOTION TO FILE RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR 5 SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN EXCESS OF THIRTY PAGES was served upon those persons 6 designated by the parties in the E-Service Master List upon the following: 7 Todd L. Bice, Esq. 8 Jordan T. Smith, Esq. PISANELLI BICE PLLC 400 South Seventh Street Suite 300 10 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP 11 Tina B. Solis, Esq. 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550 12 Seth A. Horvath, Esq. Las Vegas, NV 89101 NIXON PEABODY LLP 13 70 W. Madison St., Suite 3500 Chicago, IL 60602 14 Scott M. Dinner, Esq. 15 NIXON PEABODY LLP 16 799 9th Street NW, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20001 17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs BGC Partners, Inc. 18 G&E Acquisition Company, LLC and 19 Newmark Group, Inc. 20 21 22 Gayle Angulo 23 An employee of GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP 24 25 26 27 28